

Rapid Purification of Fumonisin B₃ and B₄ with Solid Phase Extraction Columns

Stephen M. Poling* and Ronald D. Plattner

Bioactive Agents Research, U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Center for Agricultural Utilization Research, Agricultural Research Service, Peoria, Illinois 61604

A simple method was developed to isolate fumonisins B₃ and B₄ (FB₃ and FB₄) from cultures of a novel strain of *Fusarium moniliforme* which produces FB₃ and FB₄ but does not make FB₁ or FB₂. Undiluted extract was loaded onto a 10 g NH₂ solid phase extraction cartridge, and the fumonisins were eluted with 5% acetic acid in methanol. The eluate was diluted with 1.5 vol of water and loaded onto a 10 g tC18 cartridge. The fumonisins were eluted with increasing amounts of acetonitrile in water. Complete separation was obtained between the FB₃ (277 mg) and FB₄ (62 mg) fractions. The fractions were analyzed for purity by three methods: fluorescence detection of the OPA derivatives, evaporative light-scattering detection of the underivatized fumonisins, and electrospray-MS. The fractions from the combined NH₂/tC18 method contained more than 90% fumonisins. Recovery of the fumonisins from the extracts exceeded 95%.

Keywords: *Fumonisin*; *Fusarium moniliforme*; corn; mycotoxins; isolation

INTRODUCTION

Fumonisin B₁ (FB₁) was originally isolated and identified as a toxic metabolite of *Fusarium moniliforme* isolated from corn (Bezuidenhout et al., 1988). FB₂, FB₃, and FB₄, which differ in their pattern of hydroxylation from FB₁, and FA₁ and FA₂, the *N*-acetylated analogs of FB₁ and FB₂, have also been identified (Cawood et al., 1991; Gelderblom et al., 1988; Plattner et al., 1992). Two fumonisins, FC₁ and FC₄, lacking the C-1 methyl group characteristic of the other fumonisins are also found in culture material (Branham and Plattner, 1993; Plattner, 1995). The predominant fumonisin found in naturally contaminated corn is FB₁, which is usually about 70% of the total fumonisins present. Purified FB₁ causes a range of toxic responses in animals including equine leukoencephalomalacia, porcine pulmonary edema, and hepatosis and nephrotoxicity in rodents (Nelson et al., 1993; Norred and Voss, 1994). FB₁ has also been associated with high incidences of human esophageal cancer in South Africa (Rheeder et al., 1992) and China (Chu and Li, 1994). Much less is known about the toxicity of the other fumonisins. When the toxicity of FB₁, FB₂, and FB₃ was compared in rats, they showed similar hepatotoxic effects and similar, although weak, cancer-initiating potential (Gelderblom et al., 1993). More work with the other fumonisins in purified form is needed to determine their toxicological significance (Norred and Voss, 1994). The use of unpurified extracts from fungal cultures to conduct toxicological studies may lead to unreliable results. Some of the effects observed may be due to other toxins that may be produced by *F. moniliforme* and not the fumonisins. *F. moniliforme* and closely related species produce several other mycotoxins and phycotoxins including moniliformin, beauvericin, fusaric acid, the fusarins, and the naphthazarine pigment complex whose toxicity has not been as well studied as the fumonisins. Even analyzing for the presence or

absence of other known toxins does not eliminate the possibility the effects may be due to a yet unidentified metabolite of the mold. Because fumonisins are commonly found in grains used for animal feed and can occur in processed corn products consumed by humans (Nelson et al., 1993; Bullerman and Tsai, 1994), there has been a great deal of interest in their study.

We were interested in developing a rapid method for isolating FB₃ and FB₄ in sufficient amounts and purity to study their toxicity. FB₃ and FB₄ are difficult to isolate and purify from typical strains of *F. moniliforme* because they occur at much lower concentrations than FB₁. Atypical strains of *Fusarium proliferatum* that produced mainly FB₂ or FB₃ but little FB₁ have been isolated from equine and swine feeds (Ross et al., 1992; Nelson et al., 1994). We recently identified two strains with unusual fumonisin ratios during the screening of several hundred mating population A strains of *F. moniliforme*. One of these strains (KSU 819) accumulates high levels of FB₃ and FB₄ but virtually no FB₁ or FB₂. It also accumulates a new fumonisin, FC₄, which is the analog of FB₄ lacking the C-1 methyl group. The other strain (KSU 817) made little FB₁ or FB₃ but accumulates high levels of FB₂, FB₄, and FC₄ (Plattner, 1995; Plattner et al., 1996). Full details of the survey will be reported separately. These strains provide a convenient source of FB₂ or FB₃.

The purification of FB₃ and FB₄ from strain KSU 819 will be discussed in this paper. The method developed is rapid and requires only a minimal amount of equipment. It uses only solid phase extraction cartridges with C18- and propylamine (NH₂)-bonded phases. It can be directly scaled to different size cartridges by adjusting the volumes used proportionally to the cartridge weights. Because FB₃ and FB₄ are not readily available, this rapid method should be of interest to those wishing to test the toxicity of these fumonisins. It will provide good starting material for obtaining analytical standards by semipreparative HPLC that can be used for the identification and quantitation of these fumonisins in various grain products. It should also be useful for purifying radiolabeled fumonisins because of

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed [e-mail, polingsm@ncaur1.ncaur.gov; fax, (309) 681-6693].

the small amounts of waste generated and the simple equipment required.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Fungal Strains and Culture Conditions. Strains used in this study were from the culture collection at the Fusarium Research Center at Pennsylvania State University or from the collection of Dr. John Leslie (Kansas State University). The isolates of *F. moniliforme* were grown on cracked corn using published procedures (Nelson et al., 1991).

Extraction. The corn cultures were extracted with 5 mL of CH₃CN/H₂O (1/1) for each gram of corn by soaking for 2–3 h (with mixing every 0.5 h). The resulting suspensions were filtered through Whatman #1 paper in a Buchner funnel or Whatman 2v folder filter paper in a glass funnel. For the tC18 cartridge procedure, a fresh extract that contained about 2.5 mg/mL FB₃ was prepared from a single 50 g flask of corn that produced a very high level of FB₃. The extracts from several other flasks were combined to give 3.5 L of pooled extract that contained about 1.1 mg/mL FB₃.

HPLC. Previously purified FB₃ was used as the standard against which to measure the other components of the fractions. None of the other components were available in sufficient quantity of known purity to serve as standards. The responses are based on peak areas. Three different systems were used to perform the analyses. The OPA derivatives (Shephard et al., 1990) were analyzed on a Pecosphere 3 × 3C C18 column (0258–0164, Perkin Elmer Corp., Norwalk, CA) using a fluorescence detector (excitation 334 nm, emission 440 nm). The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min. Solvent A was 60/40 methanol (MeOH)/0.1 M NaH₂PO₄ adjusted to pH 3.35 with H₃PO₄ after mixing. Solvent B was 80/20 MeOH/0.1 M NaH₂PO₄ adjusted to pH 3.35. The gradient was held at 25/75 A/B for 1 min, and then a linear gradient was run to 0/100 A/B over 15 min and held at 100% B for 5 min (*t_R*: FB₁ 9.9 min, FB₂ 15.3, FB₃ 14.8, FB₄ 20.1).

The underivatized fumonisins were analyzed using an evaporative light-scattering detector (Varex Mark III ELSD detector, Alltech Associates, Deerfield, IL). Initial qualitative analyses were performed using a Pecosphere 3 × 3C C18 column as for the OPA derivative but the following solvent system. The flow rate was 0.5 mL/min. Solvent A was 700/300/1 acetonitrile (CH₃CN)/H₂O/TFA (trifluoroacetic acid). Solvent B was 200/800/1 CH₃CN/H₂O/TFA. The gradient was held at 20/80 A/B for 1 min, and then a linear gradient was run to 50/50 A/B over 15 min (30–45% CH₃CN) and held at 50/50 A/B for 5 min (*t_R*: FB₃ 10.8 min, FA₃ 13.6, FB₄ 17.3, FA₄ 20.8).

Quantitative analyses with the ELSD (tube temperature 110 °C, gas flow 2.75 slpm) were performed using an Inertsil ODS-3 15 cm × 3.0 mm i.d. column (0396-150 × 030, MetaChem Technologies, Torrance, CA). The flow rate was 0.5 mL/min. Solvent A was 1000/1 MeOH/acetic acid (HOAc). Solvent B was 500/500/1 MeOH/H₂O/HOAc. The gradient was held at 20/80 A/B for 1 min, and then a linear gradient was run to 70/30 A/B over 15 min (60–85% MeOH) and held at 70/30 A/B for 5 min (*t_R*: FB₃ 8.2 min, FA₃ 17.8, FB₄ 13.5, FA₄ 22.1, FC₄ 13.2).

For the third system, the HPLC was coupled to a Finnigan-MAT TSQ 700 mass spectrometer via the Finnigan electro-spray interface (ESI) (Finnigan-MAT, San Jose, CA). A YMC Basic 25 cm × 2 mm i.d. column (BA99S052502PFK, YMC, Inc., Wilmington, NC) was used for the ESI measurements. The flow rate was 0.4 mL/min. The solvents and gradient program were the same as those used with the Inertsil ODS-3 column described above (*t_R*: FB₃ 6.4 min, FA₃ 15.2, FC₃ 5.5, FB₄ 13.2, FA₄ 18.4, FC₄ 13.1). The total HPLC eluent was introduced into the detector. Mass spectra were obtained by scanning from *m/z* 350/950 in 1.5 s.

Preparation of Analytical Samples. As originally dried, the fractions from the separation procedures given below were obtained as viscous residues. Because the residues entrap solvent and give inconsistent dry weights, the residues were dissolved in MeOH, a large portion of the MeOH solution was evaporated under a N₂ stream at room temperature, and the

residues were dissolved in a small volume of CH₃CN/H₂O (50/50), freeze-dried to an amorphous powder, and weighed. The powders weighed between 74% and 91% of the starting weight of the residues. The dry weights of the powders were used to calculate the actual concentrations of the analytical samples. Aliquots of the remaining MeOH solutions were diluted with 50/50 CH₃CN/H₂O to the appropriate concentration for each method.

tC18 Cartridge Procedure. A tC18 cartridge containing 10 g of packing material (Sep-Pak Vac 35 cc (10 g) tC18 cartridge, WAT043350, Waters Corp., Milford, MA) was conditioned with 100 mL of MeOH and then 100 mL of 10/90 CH₃CN/H₂O; 80 mL of fresh extract from the high-FB₃-producing culture (about 2.5 mg/mL FB₃ in 50/50 CH₃CN/H₂O) was diluted to 400 mL with H₂O and loaded onto the cartridge. The cartridge was eluted with 100 mL aliquots of 20/80, 30/70, 40/60, 50/50, 60/40, and 100/0 CH₃CN/H₂O. The 30/70, 40/60, and 50/50 aliquots contained the compounds of interest as determined by HPLC and were freeze-dried using a VirTis Vacu-spin (The VirTis Corp., Gardener, NY).

NH₂ Cartridge Procedure. A 2 g NH₂ cartridge (Sep-Pak Vac 12 cc (2 g) NH₂ cartridge, WAT054650) was conditioned with 50 mL aliquots of MeOH and then 50/50 CH₃CN/H₂O; 50 mL of the pooled extract (about 1.1 mg/mL FB₃ in 50/50 CH₃CN/H₂O) was loaded onto the cartridge which was eluted with 50 mL aliquots of 50/50 MeOH/H₂O, MeOH, and 5% HOAc in MeOH. The fumonisins are in the 5% HOAc/MeOH fraction. This was either freeze-dried or evaporated under a N₂ stream.

Combined NH₂/tC18 Cartridge Procedure. Pooled extract (250 mL) was loaded onto a 10 g NH₂ cartridge (Sep-Pak Vac 35 cc (10 g) NH₂ cartridge, WAT054740) that had been conditioned and was subsequently eluted with 250 mL aliquots as described above. The 250 mL 5% HOAc/MeOH fraction was diluted to approximately 40% MeOH by adding 375 mL of H₂O. A 10 g tC18 cartridge was conditioned with 100 mL aliquots of MeOH and 40/60 MeOH/H₂O. The diluted sample was loaded onto the tC18 cartridge. The presence of HOAc required a slight modification of the procedure used for the tC18 cartridge alone. The cartridge was eluted with 100 mL aliquots of 15/85, 25/75, 35/65, a second 35/65, 45/55, and 55/45 CH₃CN/H₂O. The combined 35/65 aliquots contained FB₃, and the 45/55 fraction contained FB₄. Alternatively, the excess HOAc can be removed by washing the tC18 cartridge with 100 mL of 40/60 MeOH/H₂O after the fumonisins have been loaded. Air is then briefly pulled through the cartridge to remove the void volume but not drying the packing material. The combined fumonisins can then be eluted with MeOH.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Because only FB₃ was available to prepare a weighed standard and because some fractions contained several fumonisins in lower amounts that were not detected by all analytical methods, each of the fractions was analyzed by three different methods to obtain a more complete picture of its composition. Each of the analytical methods (the OPA derivative, ELSD, or ESI) has advantages and disadvantages. The fluorescence detection of the OPA derivative is a widely used method, has a linear response, and gives very good analytical results when used with standard FB₁, FB₂, and FB₃. The formation of the OPA derivative requires a free amine group so this method is unable to measure the *N*-acetylated analogs. Also, the OPA derivative is unstable under the acidic conditions used for HPLC, causing different responses to be observed for FB₁, FB₂, and FB₃. The response decreases as the retention time increases. Therefore, the response observed for FB₄ will be less than that for the same amount of FB₃ because of its longer retention time. Because the amount of FB₄ was estimated by assuming that the response factors for FB₃ and FB₄ were the same, the amount of FB₄ reported in Table 1 as by OPA will be less than the actual amount.

Table 1. Comparison of the Fumonisin Composition of the Fractions from the tC18 and NH₂ Cartridges As Measured by Evaporative Light-Scattering Detection (ELSD), Electrospray-MS (ESI), or Fluorescence Detection of the *o*-Phthalaldehyde Derivative (OPA)

method	wt (mg) ^a	fumonisin	% by ELSD	% by ESI	% by OPA ^b		
tC18 cartridge (10 g)	175	FB ₃	77	69	78		
		FA ₃ ^c		tr			
		FC ₃		1			
		total ^d	77	71	78		
		60	FB ₄	56	53	na	
		FA ₄	6	2			
		FC ₄	6	8			
		total ^d	68	63			
		NH ₂ cartridge (2 g)	66	FB ₃	53	72	53
				FA ₃	8	4	
FC ₃				1			
FB ₄	11			15	9		
FA ₄				tr			
		FC ₄		2			
		total ^d	72	95	62		
		combined NH ₂ /tC18 cartridges	277	FB ₃	80	76	83
				FA ₃	16	7	
				FC ₃		2	
total ^d	96			85	83		
62	FB ₄			85	77	61	
		FA ₄	8	3			
		FC ₄	15	13			
		total ^d	108	92	61		

^a Total dry weight of each fraction. ^b FA₃ and FA₄ do not react with OPA reagent and cannot be detected by this method. ^c FA₃ elutes in the fraction before this fraction when the tC18 cartridges are used alone. ^d Percentage of the dry weight of each fraction that can be attributed to the fumonisins in that fraction as determined by the ELSD, ESI or OPA analytical method.

Detection and quantitation of underivatized fumonisins by an evaporative light-scattering detector (ELSD) has been reported (Wilkes et al., 1995; Plattner, 1995; Plattner et al., 1996). Because the response of the ELSD is only dependent upon the mass of the eluate, all fumonisin analogs should give the same response as FB₃ as long as the peak shape remains the same over the entire chromatogram. The HPLC method used for the quantitative analysis meets this condition. The response curve was determined each day from single injections of 200, 500, 1000, 1500, and 2000 ng of FB₃. A ln–ln plot of the response against the amount of standard was linear with coefficients of determination of $r^2 = 0.998$ and 1.000 on 2 consecutive days. The detection limit by ELSD (about 10 ng) is not as low as that of the OPA derivative with fluorescence detection (about 0.1 ng) but is entirely adequate for the analysis of the amounts of fumonisins found in *Fusarium* cultures.

Electrospray-MS is an ideal technique to detect and measure fumonisins. The protonated molecule (m/z 706) of FB₃ is the base peak in the mass spectrum, and little fragmentation is observed. This is also true for FB₄, FC₃, and FC₄ with base peaks at m/z 690, 692, and 676, respectively. Quantitation in ESI was based on the area of the protonated molecular ion (m/z 706) of the FB₃ standard. Three injections each of 100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 ng of FB₃ were made over the several days the analysis was performed. The values for each amount were averaged and plotted to obtain a standard curve. For 300 ng and below, a linear response with a coefficient of determination of $r^2 = 1.000$ was obtained which was good down to 10 ng. The linear response curve for FB₃ was used to measure the amounts of FA₃, FA₄, FC₃, and FC₄. Above 300 ng, the response became

nonlinear, and the standard curve was best described by a second-order polynomial with a coefficient of determination of $r^2 = 0.997$. The second-order equation was used to calculate the amounts of FB₃ and FB₄. Quantitation of FC₃ and FC₄ is based on the areas of their respective protonated molecular ions compared to that of the FB₃ standard. They are similar enough to FB₃ that they should have similar ionization efficiencies and give reasonably accurate measurements. Under our experimental conditions the sodiated molecular ions of FA₃ and FA₄ at m/z 770 and 754, respectively, were the base peaks. For FA₃ and FA₄, the sum of the areas of protonated and sodiated molecular ions was compared to the area of the protonated molecular ion of the FB₃ standard. Because the ionization efficiencies of the amides are less than those of the free amines, they will be underestimated by ESI. Another advantage of electrospray-MS is that while FC₃ was not resolved from FB₃ with the HPLC conditions used for ELSD or ESI and the measured value for FB₃ by ELSD includes a small amount of FC₃, by monitoring the protonated molecular ion by ESI, FC₃ could be detected and measured.

The results from the quantitative analyses of the various fractions are shown in Table 1. Purification of the fumonisins was by means of reversed-phase chromatography on tC18 cartridges. When 16 mL of the fresh extract was separated on a 2 g tC18 cartridge, three fractions contained compounds of interest. FA₃ was the main component of the 30% CH₃CN fraction which also contained FB₃. Most of the FB₃ eluted with 40% CH₃CN. FB₄ eluted in the 50% CH₃CN fraction. The procedure was scaled up to 10 g cartridges to determine the amount and purity of FB₃ and FB₄ that could be obtained for toxicity studies. The 20 mL aliquot size used for the 2 g cartridges was scaled to 100 mL for use with 10 g cartridges, and the same separations were obtained. The results are shown in Table 1. The FB₃ fraction (175 mg) eluted with 40% CH₃CN and contained 69–78% FB₃ depending on which method of analysis was used. Thus the three methods agree reasonably well given that each has approximately a 5% deviation. This fraction also contained about 1% FC₃ (the analog lacking the C-1 carbon) and a trace of FA₃. The FB₄ fraction (60 mg) contained 53–56% FB₄, 2–6% FA₄, and 6–8% FC₄. The amount of FA₄ is probably closer to 6% because ESI underestimates the amides. The percentage of FC₄ in the FB₄ fraction is much higher than the percentage of FC₃ in the FB₃ fraction. FC₄ occurred in similar though larger amounts than FC₃ in all the samples analyzed even though the amount of FB₃ is about 5 times greater than that of FB₄. In all cultures examined to date, the level of FC₄, while always quite low, has also been observed to occur at much greater levels than those of FC₁ (Plattner et al., 1996). The tC18 procedure gives a good separation between the FB₃ and FB₄ fractions and fractions that are more than 70% fumonisins.

The identification of FC₃, FA₄, and FC₄ in the fractions was based on the following criteria. FC₃ was tentatively identified based on electrospray-MS and its chromatographic behavior. It elutes shortly before FB₃ just as FC₁ and FC₄ elute shortly before FB₁ and FB₄, respectively. The strong signal at m/z 692 in the positive ion mode is 14 Da less than that observed for FB₃, as would be expected for the loss of the C-1 methyl group. The characterization of FA₃ and the tentative identification of FA₄ has been previously described

(Plattner et al., 1996). Work is currently underway to purify and completely identify these minor fumonisin analogs. FC₄ has been previously identified in cultures of strain KSU 819 (Plattner, 1995).

Purification of the fumonisins was also performed by means of weak anion-exchange chromatography using NH₂ cartridges. After conditioning the cartridge, the 50/50 CH₃CN/H₂O extract can be loaded onto the cartridge without dilution. After washing the cartridge, the fumonisins are eluted with 5% HOAc/MeOH. The results from using a 2 g NH₂ cartridge are shown in Table 1. The percentages of FB₃ and FB₄ as measured by ELSD and OPA are in close agreement. The values measured by ESI are higher than those measured by ELSD in this fraction, while in the other fractions they are similar or lower. This is probably due to the way the sample was prepared. Initially, the sample was dried under a nitrogen stream which gives a viscous residue that retains HOAc. It was dissolved in MeOH, the analytical samples were removed, and the bulk of the remainder was freeze-dried to an amorphous powder that had only 74% of the initial weight. The extra acid probably caused the increased response seen by ESI. Electrospray sensitivity is greatly affected by pH and ion strength of the eluting solvent (Plattner et al., 1996). The NH₂ cartridge separates the fumonisins as a group. The extracts do not need to be diluted before being loaded onto the cartridge to prevent them from being lost. This saves time compared to the tC18 procedure where a large volume of diluted extract must be loaded onto the cartridge to prevent the fumonisins from passing through the cartridge without being retained. The NH₂ cartridge is also very good at removing pigments from the fumonisin fraction. The pigments either pass through when the extract is loaded onto the cartridge or are more strongly retained than the fumonisins and are not eluted with them. The NH₂ cartridge procedure can easily and rapidly yield a fumonisin fraction that is 70% fumonisins starting with extracts containing 0.1% FB₃.

Finally, the NH₂ and tC18 procedures were combined to take advantage of both weak anion-exchange and reversed-phase chromatography. The NH₂ cartridge procedure was performed as described above. The 5% HOAc/MeOH fraction was diluted with water to a 40% organic solution and loaded onto a preconditioned tC18 cartridge. The presence of the acid reduced the amount of CH₃CN required to elute the fumonisins and caused FB₃ and FA₃ to elute in the same fraction. The FB₃ fraction (FB₃, FA₃, and FC₃) eluted with 35% CH₃CN. The majority of the FB₃ eluted in the first aliquot of 35% CH₃CN, but a second aliquot of 35% CH₃CN was required to keep FB₃ from being eluted in the FB₄ fraction. Because there was no FB₄ in the second aliquot of 35% CH₃CN, it was combined with the first aliquot. In some cases, with other samples, a small amount of FB₄ eluted in the second aliquot. The FB₄ fraction (FB₄, FA₄, and FC₄) elutes with 45% CH₃CN. The amount of material that can be loaded onto the NH₂ cartridge is the limiting factor that determines the amount of fumonisins that can be obtained by this procedure. In no instances, when the cartridges were the same size, did the eluent from the NH₂ cartridge cause the tC18 cartridge to be overloaded. If the amount of extract that could be loaded onto a 360 mg NH₂ cartridge was determined, then 5 and 25 times as much could be loaded on 2 and 10 g cartridges, respec-

tively, and successful separations were obtained. The results using a 10 g NH₂ and a 10 g tC18 cartridge are shown in Table 1. Because the OPA method does not measure FA₃ or FA₄ and ESI underestimates them, the FB₃ and FB₄ fractions were at least 90% fumonisins and may be greater than 95% fumonisins. A few percent of various methyl esters of FB₃ or FB₄ arising from their exposure to MeOH were also present in the fractions. The recovery of the fumonisins from the extracts by the combined NH₂/tC18 procedure was greater than 95%.

By using the combined NH₂/tC18 cartridge procedure and applying it to extracts from *F. moniliforme* strain KSU 819, FB₃ and FB₄ fractions of high purity were obtained. Starting with extract from strain KSU 817, it should be possible to isolate FB₂ and FB₄ fractions of similar purity. The method is easy, requires only simple equipment to carry out, and can be completed in a few hours. Sufficient FB₂, FB₃, and FB₄ should be obtained to establish feeding levels for toxicity studies in small animals and to obtain more information on their relative toxicity and their toxicity compared to that of FB₁.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bezuidenhout, S. C.; Gelderblom, W. C. A.; Gorst-Allman, C. P.; Horak, R. M.; Marasas, W. F. O.; Spiteller, G.; Vleggaar, R. Structure elucidation of the fumonisins, mycotoxins from *Fusarium moniliforme*. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1988**, 743–745.
- Branham, B. E.; Plattner, R. D. Isolation and characterization of a new fumonisin from liquid cultures of *Fusarium moniliforme*. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1993**, *56*, 1630–1633.
- Bullerman, L. B.; Tsai, W. J. Incidence and levels of *Fusarium moniliforme*, *Fusarium proliferatum* and fumonisins in corn and corn-based foods and feeds. *J. Food Prot.* **1994**, *57*, 541–546.
- Cawood, M. E.; Gelderblom, W. C. A.; Vleggaar, R.; Behrend, Y.; Thiel, P. G.; Marasas, W. F. O. Isolation of the fumonisins mycotoxins: a quantitative approach. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1991**, *39*, 1958–1962.
- Chu, F. S.; Li G. Y. Simultaneous occurrence of fumonisin B₁ and other mycotoxins in moldy corn collected from the Peoples Republic of China in regions with high incidences of esophageal cancer. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1994**, *60*, 847–852.
- Gelderblom, W. C. A.; Jaskiewicz, K.; Marasas, W. F. O.; Thiel, P. G.; Horak, R. M.; Vleggaar, R.; Kriek, N. P. J. Fumonisins-novel mycotoxins with cancer-promoting activity produced by *Fusarium moniliforme*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1988**, *54*, 1806–1811.
- Gelderblom, W. C. A.; Cawood, M. E.; Snyman, S. D.; Vleggaar, R.; Marasas, W. F. O. Structure-activity relationships of fumonisins in short-term carcinogenesis and cytotoxic assays. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **1993**, *31*, 407–414.
- Nelson, P. E.; Plattner, R. D.; Shackelford, D. D.; Desjardins, A. E. Production of fumonisins by *Fusarium moniliforme* strains from various substrates and geographic areas. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1991**, *57*, 2410–2412.
- Nelson, P. E.; Desjardins, A. E.; Plattner, R. D. Fumonisins, mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium* species: biology, chemistry and significance. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **1993**, *31*, 233–252.
- Nelson, P. E.; Juba, J. H.; Ross, P. F.; Rice, L. G. Fumonisin production by *Fusarium* species on solid substrates. *J. AOAC Int.* **1994**, *77*, 522–525.
- Norred, W. P.; Voss, K. A. Toxicity and role of fumonisins in animal diseases and human esophageal cancer. *J. Food Prot.* **1994**, *57*, 522–527.
- Plattner, R. D. Detection of fumonisins produced in *Fusarium moniliforme* cultures by HPLC with electrospray MS and evaporative light scattering detectors. *Nat. Toxins* **1995**, *3*, 294–298.

- Plattner, R. D.; Weisleder, D.; Shackelford, D. D.; Peterson, R.; Powell, R. G. A new fumonisin from solid cultures of *Fusarium moniliforme*. *Mycopathologia* **1992**, *117*, 23–28.
- Plattner, R. D.; Weisleder, D.; Poling, S. M. Analytical determination of fumonisins and other metabolites produced by *Fusarium moniliforme* and related species on corn. In *Fumonisin in Food*; Jackson, L., DeVries, J. W., Bullerman, L. B., Eds.; Plenum Press: New York, 1996.
- Rheeder, J. P.; Marasas, W. F. O.; Thiel, P. G.; Sydenham, E. W.; Shephard, G. S.; van Schalkwyk, D. J. *Fusarium moniliforme* and fumonisins in corn in relation to human esophageal cancer in Transkei. *Phytopathology* **1992**, *82*, 353–357.
- Ross, P. F.; Rice, L. G.; Osweiler, G. D.; Nelson, P. E.; Richard, J. L.; Wilson, T. M. A review and update of animal toxicoses associated with fumonisin-contaminated feeds and the production of fumonisins by *Fusarium* isolates. *Mycopathologia* **1992**, *117*, 109–114.
- Shephard, G. S.; Sydenham, E. W.; Thiel, P. G.; Gelderblom, W. C. A. Quantitative determination of fumonisins B₁ and B₂ by high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. *J. Liq. Chromatogr.* **1990**, *13*, 2077–2087.
- Wilkes, J. G.; Sutherland, J. B.; Churchwell, M. I.; Williams, A. J. Determination of fumonisins B₁, B₂, B₃ and B₄ by high-performance liquid chromatography with evaporative light-scattering detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* **1995**, *695*, 319–323.

Received for review January 3, 1996. Revised manuscript received July 9, 1996. Accepted July 11, 1996.® Names are necessary to report factually on available data; however, the USDA neither guarantees nor warrants the standard of the product, and the use of the name by the USDA implies no approval of the product to the exclusion of others that may also be suitable.

JF960013P

® Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, August 15, 1996.